

Proton therapy preserves acute left ventricular ejection fraction relative to conventional X-ray therapy in breast cancer

Walter O'Dell, PhD Assistant Professor of Radiation Oncology Grad. Appts. in Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering wodell@ufl.edu



Medical Image and Computational Analysis Laboratory http://odell.radonc.med.ufl.edu/MIACALab







Shruti Siva Kumar BME PhD student

Alexandria Waler UF undergraduate University Scholars Program 2016 (now 2nd year Medical student at UCF)





Brandon Terracino Medical Physics PhD student

> Eric Zeng High school student in UF SSTP 2017 (now attending Stanford U.)





- 1. Over 250,000 new breast cancer diagnoses annually in US.
- 2. Patients with Stage II+, node-positive BC have improved overall and disease-free survival with the use of RT to the mediastinal lymph nodes, chest wall and axilla.





- Each 1 Gy heart dose increases risk of heart events by 7%¹.
- Historical mean heart dose during RT is 1-2 Gy for right-sided BC patients and 3-10 Gy for left-sided.
- Historically, BC patients with RT have a 27% increased risk of cardiac death².
- Patients with left-sided BC have a 56% higher risk of cardiac events than patients with right-sided BC: 28-70% vs. 7-14%³.

1. Darby SC, Ewertz M, *et al.* Risk of ischemic heart disease in women after radiotherapy for breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 368: 987-98.

2. Clarke M, Collins R, *et al.* Effects of radiotherapy and of differences in the extent of surgery for early breast cancer on local recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 2005; 366: 2087-106.

3. K. Bouillon, N. Haddy, S. Delaloge, *et al.*, Long-Term Cardiovascular Mortality After Radiotherapy for Breast Cancer, Journal of the American College of Cardiology **57**(4), 445–452 (2011)



X-rays versus protons

MIACALab Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine



Comparison of breast RT treatment plans when using X-rays versus protons. [A] is a radiation treatment plan using conventional X-rays. [B] is a plan using protons. The rainbow color overlay represents the spatial dose distribution with red being high dose. Both plans are designed to irradiate the breast, chest wall, axillary and mediastinal lymph nodes. Dosimetrically, the proton treatment plan results in less volume of heart receiving high dose.



Proton Collaborative Group: NCT01758445 *Proton Radiation for Stage II/III Breast Cancer* Endpoint: incidence of cardiac mortality at 10 and 15 years following PT. Expected completion date: January, 2030

NCT02603341 Pragmatic Randomized Trial of Proton vs. Photon Therapy for Patients With Non-Metastatic Breast Cancer: A Radiotherapy Comparative Effectiveness (RADCOMP) Consortium Trial. Endpoint: incidence of major clinical cardiac events between PT & CRT.

Limitations:

- Endpoints are major cardiac events (binary yes/no) rather than graded measures of the severity of toxicity and effect on QOL.
- Require 10+ year assessment.



UF-PTI study

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

UFPTI BR02: Prospective Pilot Study of Early Markers of Radiation-Induced Cardiac Injury in Patients with Left-Sided Breast Cancer Receiving Photon or Proton Therapy



Julie Bradley¹, Walter O'Dell, Christopher Klassen² ¹Radiation Oncology, UFPTI, Jacksonville FL ²Radiology UFH Shands Hospital, Jacksonville FL



Funded by the Ocala Royal Dames for Cancer Research

Endpoint: quantify the incidence and severity of early, sub-clinical cardiac toxicity using MRI and compare PT vs CRT. Goals:

i. Better understand the sequela of events leading to heart failure.

ii. Identify patients needing more active follow-up heart care.



Heart MRI for global heart function

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine



Long-axis view



Short-axis view





Imaging heart function

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

Anatomical information

- -- congenital abnormalities
- -- wall thickness
- -- myocardial mass

Heart Pump Function

cardiac output = stroke volume* HR ejection fraction (EF) = stroke volume/end-diastolic volume

LVEF is the most commonly-used clinical measure of heart mechanical function







Commonly-used methods for computing LVEF in the clinic:

- Using only short-axis slices: Composite Mid-point Integration Method (aka Simspon's rule), Wyatt's modified-Simpson method
- Using only 1 or 2 dimensions in a single long-axis view: Dodge, Teichholz





- These geometric models are overly-simplistic, leading to >15% error in LVEF.
- This large error would mask the small early changes in LVEF of interest.
- Need to combine multi-slice, multi-planar data into a 3D geometric model.





Goal:

- i. Sample the LV surface in 3D.
- ii. Clearly view the mitral valve orifice and the full apical extent of the LV at all time frames.



Radial Long-axis images (preferred)

Parallel Long-axis images

surface model:

$$\lambda(\mu,\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} a_{i} P_{l}^{|m|}(\cos \mu) \cdot \begin{cases} \sin m\theta & m > 0\\ \cos m\theta & m \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Contour surface fitting

Human heart endocardial (inside) surface:

Lines are contour points from an MRI dataset and the green surface is the model representation. The number of terms in the model increases from left to right.

- 1: Acquire images over multiple locations and views.
- 2: Segment LV at each slice and time.
- **3**. Combine views & slices into a 3D mathematical model of the LV.

- 1: Acquire images over multiple locations and views.
- 2: Segment LV at each slice and time.
- **3**. Combine views & slices into a 3D mathematical model of the LV.
- 4. Quantify LV volumes and ejection fraction from the 3D models.

Validating LV Volume

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

Isolated canine heart MRI study

- Balloon surgically inserted into LV at end of water column.
- Computer-controlled LV volume excursion via servo pump.
- H_3O in balloon for contrast.
- 12 short-axis and 12 long-axis image slices.
- 14 time frames.

Estimating LV Volume

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

Wyatt Method

Teichholz Method

3D Modelling Method

2.4 + D

 Δ LVEF pre- vs post-RT

Change in LVEF versus treatment modality

△LVEF for Xray RT: $-9.6 \pm 2.1\%$ proton therapy: $+8.3 \pm 5.2\%$ *p< 0.05

LVEF decreased by 5.3% for each Gy increase in mean heart dose

Key points:

- No significant difference between the groups for age, BMI, smoking history, use of doxorubicin, baseline LVEF, scan interval, baseline diastolic volume.
- This is the first demonstration of the benefit of proton therapy in reducing heart toxicity.
- Changes are small enough that conventional LV volume methods could miss them.
- Improvement in some patients post-RT possibly due to chemotherapy-derived heart toxicity present at time of baseline MRI (before start of RT).

47 Gy

2 Gy

- 1: Heart RT dose is regional while LVEF is a global measure.
- 2. Register planning CT to heart MRI
- 3. Map CT dose to MDI boom
- 4. Compute regional heart wall contractility via MRI tagging

MR Cardiac Tagging

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

Tags

regions of altered magnetization non-invasive persist ~600ms (T1 relaxation)

Parallel tagging/Acquisition optimal tag thickness and spacing partial K-space acquisition black-blood imaging breath-hold acquisition 20-30ms intervals 10-14 images during ejection

Vertical motion (dy)

Horizontal motion (dx)

Through-plane motion (dz)

Heart mechanical function

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

Goal: compute 3D strain throughout heart wall

Utility:

- assessing long-term cardiac toxicity: cardiomyopathy
- Acute radiation in-field damage: regional wall dysfunction

Dose vs strains

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

Planning CT

Dose warped to LV

CT to MR registration

Change in circumferential strain

NIH NCI RO1 PAR-19-112 Improving Outcomes in Cancer Treatment-Related Cardiotoxicity

Title: Prospective Study of Early Markers of Radiation-Induced Cardiac Injury in Breast Cancer Patients PIs: O'Dell, Bradley (UF, UFPTI); Ambrosini, Milano (URMC)

- Aim 1: Repeated MRI-LVEF and liquid biopsies to document onset and progression of cardiac toxicity.
- Aim 2: Compare incidence and severity of toxicity: PT vs. IMRT/CRT
- Aim 3: NTCP-model radiation dose, blood-borne markers, and patient-specific factors (chemo, smoking, etc.) to predict risk for major cardiac events.
- Aim 4: Exploratory: Correlate regional dose to changes in regional myocardial strain and perfusion

5 years, 60 patients, 300 scans and blood draws, ~\$2.5M

Resubmission deadline Nov 5, 2019

Acknowledgements

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

UF	URMC
Shruti Siva Kumar, BME PhD student Brandon Terracino, MP PhD student Paul Okunieff, MD (RadOnc) Natalie Lockney, MD (RadOnc) Steve Swarts, PhD (RadOnc) Ellen Keeley, MD (Cardiology)	Robert Ambrosini, MD, PhD (Radiol) Michael Milano, MD, PhD (RadOnc) Alissa Huston, MD (MedOnc) Marilyn Ling, MD (RadOnc)
UF Proton Therapy Institute	Funding
Julie Bradley, MD(RadOnc)Nancy Mendenhall, MD(RadOnc)Chris Klassen, MD,PhD(Radiology)Savas Ozdimar, MD(Radiology)	Ocala Royal Dames for Cancer Research FL DOH Bankhead-Coley Program UF University Scholars Program UF Student Science Training Program

Questions/comments?

Circumferential Strain

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

CRT patient Circumferential strain at full contraction (range 0.0 to -0.3) All layers through wall mid-wall only

12-months post-RT

Pre-RT

Decreased Ecc Increased LV Volume Decreased LVEF

Breast Cancer Patients

MIACALab medical image & computational analysis laboratory Dept. of Radiation Oncology UF College of Medicine

Patient #12: Mid-wall Circumferential Strain Multi-Plots Blue = pre-treatment, red = post-RT

